

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/803,263

Applicant(s)

OZLUTURK, FATIH M.

Examiner

Jean B Corielus

Art Unit

2637

**All Participants:**(1) Jean B Corielus.(2) Jeffrey Glabicki.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 25 April 2005**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- ☐ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 5 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's rep. agrees to amend the above claims as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to correct for some informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance. In addition, Applicant's rep. agrees to file a Terminal Disclaimer in order to avoid a Double Patenting rejection.